Pope Francis just released the second encyclical of his pontificate, *Laudato Si*, on the importance of caring for the environment. … *Here are* quotes to give you a sense of the main ideas:

1) The opening line, quoting St. Francis of Assisi

“LAUDATO SI’, mi’ Signore” – “Praise be to you, my Lord”. In the words of this beautiful canticle, Saint Francis of Assisi reminds us that our common home is like a sister with whom we share our life and a beautiful mother who opens her arms to embrace us. “Praise be to you, my Lord, through our Sister, Mother Earth, who sustains and governs us, and who produces various fruit with coloured flowers and herbs”.

This sister now cries out to us because of the harm we have inflicted on her by our irresponsible use and abuse of the goods with which God has endowed her. We have come to see ourselves as her lords and masters, entitled to plunder her at will. The violence present in our hearts, wounded by sin, is also reflected in the symptoms of sickness evident in the soil, in the water, in the air and in all forms of life. This is why the earth herself, burdened and laid waste, is among the most abandoned and maltreated of our poor; she “groans in travail” (Rom 8:22). We have forgotten that we ourselves are dust of the earth (cf. Gen 2:7); our very bodies are made up of her elements, we breathe her air and we receive life and refreshment from her waters. (1-2)

2) Environmental destruction comes from the same evil that leads to social destruction: moral relativity

The social environment has also suffered damage. Both are ultimately due to the same evil: the notion that there are no indisputable truths to guide our lives, and hence human freedom is limitless. We have forgotten that “man is not only a freedom which he creates for himself. Man does not create himself. He is spirit and will, but also nature”. (6)

3) The Pope’s appeal to the world: we all must work together to protect our planet

I urgently appeal, then, for a new dialogue about how we are shaping the future of our planet. We need a conversation which includes everyone, since the environmental challenge we are undergoing, and its human roots, concern and affect us all. […]

Regrettably, many efforts to seek concrete solutions to the environmental crisis have proved ineffective, not only because of powerful opposition but also because of a more general lack of interest. Obstructionist attitudes, even on the part of believers, can range from denial of the problem to indifference, nonchalant resignation or blind confidence in technical solutions. We require a new and universal solidarity. […] All of us can cooperate as instruments of God for the care of creation, each according to his or her own culture, experience, involvements and talents. (14)

4) Pollution hurts the poor and is linked to the “throwaway culture”

Some forms of pollution are part of people’s daily experience. Exposure to atmospheric pollutants produces a broad spectrum of health hazards, especially for the poor, and causes millions of premature deaths. […] Technology, which, linked to business interests, is presented as the only way of solving these problems, in fact proves incapable of seeing the mysterious network of relations between things and so sometimes solves one problem only to create others. […]

These problems are closely linked to a throwaway culture which affects the excluded just as it quickly reduces things to rubbish. (20, 22)

5) The climate is a “common good”

The climate is a common good, belonging to all and meant for all. At the global level, it is a complex system linked to many of the essential conditions for human life. (23)

6) A scientific consensus says climate change is real and is caused at least in part by human activity

A very solid scientific consensus indicates that we are presently witnessing a disturbing warming of the climatic system. In recent decades this warming has been accompanied by a constant rise in the sea level and, it would appear, by an increase of extreme weather events, even if a scientifically determinable cause cannot be assigned to each particular phenomenon.

Humanity is called to recognize the need for changes of lifestyle, production and consumption, in order to combat this warming or at least the human causes which produce or aggravate it. It is true that there are other factors (such as volcanic activity, variations in the earth’s orbit and axis, the solar cycle), yet a number of scientific studies indicate
that most global warming in recent decades is due to the
great concentration of greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide,
methane, nitrogen oxides and others) released mainly as a
result of human activity. (23)

7) The poor are hurt the most by climate change

Many of the poor live in areas particularly affected by
phenomena related to warming, and their means of
subistence are largely dependent on natural reserves and
ecosystemic services such as agriculture, fishing and
forestry. They have no other financial activities or
resources which can enable them to adapt to climate
change or to face natural disasters, and their access to
social services and protection is very limited. [...] 

There has been a tragic rise in the number of migrants
seeking to flee from the growing poverty caused by
environmental degradation. They are not recognized by
international conventions as refugees; they bear the loss
of the lives they have left behind, without enjoying any
legal protection whatsoever. Sadly, there is widespread
indifference to such suffering, which is even now taking
place throughout our world. (25)

8) Access to clean drinking water is a human right

One particularly serious problem is the quality of water
available to the poor. [...] Even as the quality of available
water is constantly diminishing, in some places there is a
growing tendency, despite its scarcity, to privatize this
resource, turning it into a commodity subject to the laws
of the market. Yet access to safe drinkable water is a basic
and universal human right, since it is essential to human
survival and, as such, is a condition for the exercise of
other human rights. Our world has a grave social debt
towards the poor who lack access to drinking water,
because they are denied the right to a life consistent with
their inalienable dignity. (29-30; emphasis in original)

9) Creatures are not just resources, but have value in
and of themselves and give glory to God

It is not enough, however, to think of different species
merely as potential “resources” to be exploited, while
overlooking the fact that they have value in themselves.
Each year sees the disappearance of thousands of plant
and animal species which we will never know, which our
children will never see, because they have been lost for
ever. The great majority become extinct for reasons
related to human activity. Because of us, thousands of
species will no longer give glory to God by their very
existence, nor convey their message to us. We have no
such right. (33)

10) Care for creation must stand together with care
for the poor

This lack of physical contact and encounter, encouraged at
times by the disintegration of our cities, can lead to a
numbing of conscience and to tendentious analyses which
neglect parts of reality. At times this attitude exists side by
side with a “green” rhetoric. Today, however, we have to
realize that a true ecological approach always becomes a
social approach; it must integrate questions of justice in
debates on the environment, so as to hear both the cry of
the earth and the cry of the poor. (49)

11) Overpopulation is not the problem

Instead of resolving the problems of the poor and thinking
of how the world can be different, some can only propose
a reduction in the birth rate. At times, developing
countries face forms of international pressure which make
economic assistance contingent on certain policies of
“reproductive health”. [...] To blame population growth
instead of extreme and selective consumerism on the part
of some, is one way of refusing to face the issues. It is an
attempt to legitimize the present model of distribution,
where a minority believes that it has the right to consume
in a way which can never be universalized, since the
planet could not even contain the waste products of such
consumption. (50)

12) Avoid extremes of thinking technology will solve
everything or that humans themselves are the problem

At one extreme, we find those who doggedly uphold the
myth of progress and tell us that ecological problems will
solve themselves simply with the application of new
technology and without any need for ethical
considerations or deep change. At the other extreme are
those who view men and women and all their
interventions as no more than a threat, jeopardizing the
global ecosystem, and consequently the presence of
human beings on the planet should be reduced and all
forms of intervention prohibited. (60)

13) The Church doesn’t mean to stifle honest scientific
debate

On many concrete questions, the Church has no reason to
offer a definitive opinion; she knows that honest debate
must be encouraged among experts, while respecting
divergent views. (61)

Here I would state once more that the Church does not
presume to settle scientific questions or to replace
politics. But I am concerned to encourage an honest and
open debate so that particular interests or ideologies will
not prejudice the common good. (188)
14) Science isn’t enough, we must factor in the Gospel

Why should this document, addressed to all people of good will, include a chapter dealing with the convictions of believers? I am well aware that in the areas of politics and philosophy there are those who firmly reject the idea of a Creator, or consider it irrelevant, and consequently dismiss as irrational the rich contribution which religions can make towards an integral ecology and the full development of humanity. Others view religions simply as a subculture to be tolerated. Nonetheless, science and religion, with their distinctive approaches to understanding reality, can enter into an intense dialogue fruitful for both. […]

If we are truly concerned to develop an ecology capable of remedying the damage we have done, no branch of the sciences and no form of wisdom can be left out, and that includes religion and the language particular to it. The Catholic Church is open to dialogue with philosophical thought; this has enabled her to produce various syntheses between faith and reason. The development of the Church’s social teaching represents such a synthesis with regard to social issues; this teaching is called to be enriched by taking up new challenges. (62-63)

15) “Creation” has a broader meaning than “nature”

In the Judaeo-Christian tradition, the word “creation” has a broader meaning than “nature”, for it has to do with God’s loving plan in which every creature has its own value and significance. Nature is usually seen as a system which can be studied, understood and controlled, whereas creation can only be understood as a gift from the outstretched hand of the Father of all, and as a reality illuminated by the love which calls us together into universal communion. (76)

16) Human beings can’t be fully explained by evolution

Human beings, even if we postulate a process of evolution, also possess a uniqueness which cannot be fully explained by the evolution of other open systems. Each of us has his or her own personal identity and is capable of entering into dialogue with others and with God himself. (81)

17) ... all of creation speaks of God’s love

Our insistence that each human being is an image of God should not make us overlook the fact that each creature has its own purpose. None is superfluous. The entire material universe speaks of God’s love, his boundless affection for us. Soil, water, mountains: everything is, as it were, a caress of God. (84)

18) Technological progress is not identical with human progress

There is a growing awareness that scientific and technological progress cannot be equated with the progress of humanity and history, a growing sense that the way to a better future lies elsewhere. This is not to reject the possibilities which technology continues to offer us. […] Let us refuse to resign ourselves to this, and continue to wonder about the purpose and meaning of everything. Otherwise we would simply legitimate the present situation and need new forms of escapism to help us endure the emptiness. […]

Nobody is suggesting a return to the Stone Age, but we do need to slow down and look at reality in a different way, to appropriate the positive and sustainable progress which has been made, but also to recover the values and the great goals swept away by our unrestrained delusions of grandeur. (113-114)

19) Concern for nature is incompatible with abortion and human experimentation

Since everything is interrelated, concern for the protection of nature is also incompatible with the justification of abortion. How can we genuinely teach the importance of concern for other vulnerable beings, however troublesome or inconvenient they may be, if we fail to protect a human embryo, even when its presence is uncomfortable and creates difficulties? […]

[It is troubling that, when some ecological movements defend the integrity of the environment, rightly demanding that certain limits be imposed on scientific research, they sometimes fail to apply those same principles to human life. There is a tendency to justify transgressing all boundaries when experimentation is carried out on living human embryos. We forget that the inalienable worth of a human being transcends his or her degree of development. In the same way, when technology disregards the great ethical principles, it ends up considering any practice whatsoever as licit. (120, 136)

20) We must acknowledge and respect the differences between men and women

Learning to accept our body, to care for it and to respect its fullest meaning, is an essential element of any genuine human ecology. Also, valuing one’s own body in its femininity or masculinity is necessary if I am going to be able to recognize myself in an encounter with someone who is different. In this way we can joyfully accept the specific gifts of another man or woman, the work of God the Creator, and find mutual enrichment. It is not a
healthy attitude which would seek “to cancel out sexual difference because it no longer knows how to confront it”. (155)

21) Care for the environment is a matter of intergenerational justice

The notion of the common good also extends to future generations. The global economic crises have made painfully obvious the detrimental effects of disregarding our common destiny, which cannot exclude those who come after us. We can no longer speak of sustainable development apart from intergenerational solidarity.

Once we start to think about the kind of world we are leaving to future generations, we look at things differently; we realize that the world is a gift which we have freely received and must share with others. Since the world has been given to us, we can no longer view reality in a purely utilitarian way, in which efficiency and productivity are entirely geared to our individual benefit. Intergenerational solidarity is not optional, but rather a basic question of justice, since the world we have received also belongs to those who will follow us. (159)

22) The richest countries should shoulder the main burden of caring for the environment

Some strategies for lowering pollutant gas emissions call for the internationalization of environmental costs, which would risk imposing on countries with fewer resources burdensome commitments to reducing emissions comparable to those of the more industrialized countries. Imposing such measures penalizes those countries most in need of development.

A further injustice is perpetuated under the guise of protecting the environment. Here also, the poor end up paying the price. Furthermore, since the effects of climate change will be felt for a long time to come, even if stringent measures are taken now, some countries with scarce resources will require assistance in adapting to the effects already being produced, which affect their economies. In this context, there is a need for common and differentiated responsibilities. (170)

23) Market forces alone won’t protect the environment

Once more, we need to reject a magical conception of the market, which would suggest that problems can be solved simply by an increase in the profits of companies or individuals.

Is it realistic to hope that those who are obsessed with maximizing profits will stop to reflect on the environmental damage which they will leave behind for future generations? Where profits alone count, there can be no thinking about the rhythms of nature, its phases of decay and regeneration, or the complexity of ecosystems which may be gravely upset by human intervention. Moreover, biodiversity is considered at most a deposit of economic resources available for exploitation, with no serious thought for the real value of things, their significance for persons and cultures, or the concerns and needs of the poor. (190)

24) When it comes to progress, sometimes less is more

Whenever these questions are raised, some react by accusing others of irrationally attempting to stand in the way of progress and human development. But we need to grow in the conviction that a decrease in the pace of production and consumption can at times give rise to another form of progress and development. Efforts to promote a sustainable use of natural resources are not a waste of money, but rather an investment capable of providing other economic benefits in the medium term. [...] We know how unsustainable is the behaviour of those who constantly consume and destroy, while others are not yet able to live in a way worthy of their human dignity. [...] Put simply, it is a matter of redefining our notion of progress. A technological and economic development which does not leave in its wake a better world and an integrally higher quality of life cannot be considered progress. (191, 193, 194)

25) Christians need an ecological conversion

It must be said that some committed and prayerful Christians, with the excuse of realism and pragmatism, tend to ridicule expressions of concern for the environment. Others are passive; they choose not to change their habits and thus become inconsistent. So what they all need is an “ecological conversion”, whereby the effects of their encounter with Jesus Christ become evident in their relationship with the world around them. Living our vocation to be protectors of God’s handiwork is essential to a life of virtue; it is not an optional or a secondary aspect of our Christian experience. (217)

26) Discover God in all things

The universe unfolds in God, who fills it completely. Hence, there is a mystical meaning to be found in a leaf, in a mountain trail, in a dewdrop, in a poor person’s face. The ideal is not only to pass from the exterior to the interior to discover the action of God in the soul, but also to discover God in all things. Saint Bonaventure teaches us that “contemplation deepens the more we feel the working of God’s grace within our hearts, and the better we learn to encounter God in creatures outside ourselves”. (223)